IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§ 8	
v.	8 §	2:17-CR-113 (2)
	§	
	§	ECF
JUAN MARTINEZ	Ş	

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF EVIDENCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

TO THE HONORABLE MARY LOU ROBINSON:

COMES NOW the Defendant, JUAN MARTINEZ, by and through his undersigned counsel and moves this Honorable Court pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 404(b), F.R.E., the due process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, to order the government to produce for inspection and copying, certain material which the Defendant believes is in the possession of the government or its agents or which through due diligence would become known from the investigating officers, witnesses or persons having knowledge of the events giving rise to this cause:

I.

Defendant requests the following categories of evidence from the government. In the event the government does not comply, the Defendant moves the court to order the government to produce for inspection, copying, photographing or reproduction, the following material:

1. Any and all written or recorded statements made by or purported to have been made by the Defendant JUAN MARTINEZ, within the possession, custody or control of the government or any agent thereof, including but not limited to any and all tape recordings of any conversations to which the Defendant was a party and any and all documents, instruments or forms of any kind signed or purported to have been signed by the Defendant herein. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(B).

GRANTED:	 DENIED:
GRANTED:	 DENIED:

GRANTED:	DENIED:	
unindicted co-conspirators or informants. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E).		
from or are alleged to belong to or were made of or by the Defendant or any co-defendants,		
5. Any and all instruments, doc	uments or other tangible objects which were obtained	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	
4. A copy of any and all of the 16(a)(1)(D).	Defendant's prior criminal records. FED. R. CRIM. P.	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	
FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(A).		
this cause and in furtherance of any alleged	conspiracy or agreement. FED. R. EVID. 801(d)(2)(E),	
admissible statements of a co-conspirator or	co-defendant made during the transactions subject of	
	any such statements which the government alleges are	
,	summarized in any law enforcement agents' reports or	
3. Any and all statements of any	y co-defendants or co-conspirators, whether written or	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	
(A).		
Defendant was a coconspirator with any oth	ter Defendant in this cause. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a) (1)	
•	nich caused any government agent to conclude that the	
statements made to witnesses other than law	w enforcement officers or government agents and the	
or control of the government or any agent	thereof. This request includes, but is not limited to,	
by any government agent or law enforcement	officer, or copy thereof, within the possession, custody	
by the Defendant JUAN MARTINEZ and any	y written summary, report or transcription thereof made	
2. Any and all oral statements, c	onfessions or admissions purported to have been made	

6.	All other instruments, documents, writings, papers, books, tape recordings, transcripts			
or other tang	ible objects the gover	rnment plans to off	er into evidence in t	this case, or which are
material to th	e preparation of the d	efense. FED. R. CRI	м. Р. 16(a)(1)(E).	
GRA	NTED:	D	ENIED:	
7.	Any and all books	naners documents	instruments tane rec	ordings, transcripts, or
				indictment against the
_	d/or defendants. FED	_	_	materment against the
Defendant un	d/of defendants. TED	. rc. eraw. r . ro(u)((L).	
GRA	NTED:	D	ENIED:	_
0		1.1.1	1 0' 1'	
8.	•	-		vidual witnesses whom
· ·		ŕ		or as rebuttal witnesses
		_		duct or offense of any
Defendant. <i>U</i>	nited States v. Opage	r, 589 F.2d 799 (5 th	Cir. 1979); Rule 404	r(b), F.R.E.
GRA]	NTED:	D	ENIED:	
				_
9.	Any and all names a	and addresses of per	sons who have know	ledge pertaining to this
case or who h	ave been interviewed	by the government	or their agents in con	nnection with this case.
United States	v. Opager, 589 F.2d	799 (5 th Cir. 1979).		
GRA	NTED:	D	ENIED:	_
10.	Any and all FBI, sta	te and local arrest ar	nd conviction records	s of all persons referred
	•			s or who are listed as
	esses in this cause. F			
-		`	· · / · /	
GRA	NTED:	D	ENIED:	_

Case 2:17-cr-00113-D-BR Document 43 Filed 11/01/17 Page 4 of 12 PageID 71

Written statements of all persons in paragraph 8 and 9 whom the government does

11.

not plan to call as witnesses. FED.	R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E)(i).
GRANTED:	DENIED:
person who participated in the arrebeing questioned or interrogated a	ess of each government agent, law enforcement agent or other st of the Defendant, who was present while the Defendant was nd/or made any statements or participated in the search of the le. U.S. Const. amends. V, VI and XIV, FED. R. CRIM. P. 12(h)
GRANTED:	DENIED:
in this cause, including but not lin	imony of any and all persons who testified before the Grand jury nited to the precise nature of any statements attributed to this r co-conspirator, whether indicted or not. FED. R. CRIM. P.
GRANTED:	DENIED:
	ents, instruments, forms or statements of any kind signed or ny co-defendant in this cause. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E).
GRANTED :	DENIED:
information leading to the investi- identification of witnesses, evidence at, participated in or was a witness	nd telephone number of any informant or other person who gave gation of the Defendant(s) in this cause or which led to the e or the arrest of any Defendant in this cause or who was present to any transaction the subject of this indictment. U.S. Const. <i>United States</i> , 353 U.S. 53 (1957).
GRANTED:	DENIED:

evidence, or test results, obtained by the government relating to this case whether they are of the

Any and all handwriting exemplars, tests, fingerprint impressions or other physical

16.

Defendant, JUAN MARTINEZ, or wer	e of another defendant, a co-conspirator, witness or of some
other person or persons known or unknown	own; and any and all comparisons or written reports of tests,
analysis or other examinations conduct	ed regarding the foregoing by the government or any of its
agents or under its direction. FED. R. C	CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(F).
GRANTED:	DENIED:
, , ,	ohs, film, audio tapes and video tapes and any written
transcriptions thereof, of the Defendan	t, or any co-defendants or coconspirators, whether indicted
or not, that relate to any of the offenses	s charged in this indictment. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(B);
U. S. Const. amends. V, VI and XIV.	
GRANTED:	DENIED:
18. The name and address	of each witness who will be called by the Government,
	to extrinsic offenses, whether or not resulting in a criminal
	ation plans to use in rebuttal or to establish motive, system,
intent or identify. Fifth and Sixth Ame	naments, United States Constitution.
GRANTED:	DENIED:
19. A list of all overt or	extrinsic acts not alleged in the indictment which the
Government expects to introduce into	evidence. Rule 404(b), F.R.E.
GRANTED:	DENIED:
GIGHTIED.	

GRANTED: DENIED:
investigation that gave rise to the indictment. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E).
Defendant in this cause and in regard to any evidence or property seized or searched in regard to the
23. All search and arrest warrants and supporting affidavits issued for or regarding any
GRANTED: DENIED:
cooperation, assistance or testimony at the trial herein. U. S. Const. amends. V, VI and XIV.
uncharged misconduct, or any benefit accruing to such individuals whatsoever in exchange for their
to prosecute, agreement to proceed only on certain counts of the indictment, representation as to ye
counsel indicating a promise or a suggestion of immunity, leniency, compensation, assurance no
defendants or co-conspirators herein, whether indicted or unindicted, or with any such person's
22. The substance of any and all statements and discussions had with any of the co-
GRANTED: DENIED:
defense counsel. U. S. Const. amends. V, VI and XIV.
or the instructions to government witnesses not to speak with or discuss the facts of this cause with
punishment, including any evidence impeaching or contradicting testimony of government witnesses
accused, or which may lead to exculpatory or favorable material or which might serve to mitigate
persons having personal knowledge of this case, which is exculpatory in nature or favorable to the
which through due diligence may be learned from the investigating officers or the witnesses of
21. Any and all materials known to the government or which may become known, or
GRANTED: DENIED:
which the Government intends to introduce into evidence. Rule 404(b), F.R.E.
or any co-defendant or co-conspirator, including the date and place of such offense, act or conduct
•
20. A description in writing of each extrinsic offense, act or conduct of the Defendan

	24.	All all information the Go	vernment has regarding inconsistent statements of an
witnes	ss it may	call at trial.	
	GRAN	NTED:	DENIED:
witnes	25.	All information the Gover ay call at trial. FED. R. EVID	nment has regarding the untruthfulness of any of the observation. 607 and 608.
	GRAN	NTED:	DENIED:
	26.	All information regarding th	ne use of narcotics by witnesses the Government may ca
at trial	l .		
	A witn	ess' use of narcotics at the ti	me of events or at the trial may serve to impeach
	his cre	dibility. United States v. Ki	Ellian, 524 F.2d 1268, 1275 (5th Cir. 1975), cert.
	denied	, 425 U.S. 935 (1976). More	over, witnesses may have been under medication
	to cont	trol their drug dependency a	at the time of their cooperation with the United
	States, when they appeared before various grand juries or when they entered their		
			lesses may also still be under medication at the
		t time and may be on medica	
	The De	efendant is entitled to impeac	th these witnesses' credibility. The United States
		•	nedical records and has a duty to search its files
		•	gencies for evidence material to the defense, even
			o use such evidence at trial. See United States v.
	•		1982). Further, mental derangement may serve
		,	ited States v. Heath, 528 F.2d 191, 192 (9 th Cir.
	Č	•	F.2d 181, 185-86 (5 th Cir. 1979). This motion
			ic examinations that may have been administered
		informant witnesses.	
	to uniy	THE STREET THE STREET	
	GRAN	VTED:	DENIED:

Any notes, tapes and/or memoranda (whether handwritten, video or audio recorded, or otherwise) that may have been made by a government agent in this case, including any person who may have been acting as an informer, or in an investigative or undercover capacity. If such notes, tapes and/or other memoranda were once in existence but have now been destroyed, the purpose and exact information surrounding their destruction should be furnished in complete detail. If such items have not been destroyed, Defendant would request that the government be ordered to preserve such notes, tapes and/or other memoranda. *See United States v. Harris*, 543 F.2d 1247 (9th Cir. 1976); *United States v. Harrison*, 524 F.2d 421, 431-32 (D.C. Cir. 1975).

GRANTED:	DENIED:
----------	----------------

28. Defendant requests the disclosure of whether any government agent, informer or anyone else acting at the direction of the government has communicated with the Defendant since the commencement of adversarial proceedings against the Defendant (in this case or any related state case). Defendant further requests the identification of such individuals and details and the circumstances of such communications as well as any statements made by both the Defendant and the government agent(s). *See generally Maine v. Moulton*, 474 U.S. 159, 106 S.Ct. 477, 489 (1985); *United States v. Henry*, 447 U.S. 264, 100 S.Ct. 2183, 2186-89 (1980).

29. Defendant requests the disclosure of any witness statements at least forty-eight hours before the witness testifies at trial or at any sentencing hearing. *See United States v. Rosa*, 891 F.2d 1074, 1077-78 (3rd Cir. 1989).¹ This request is to enable the Defendant to conduct an adequate cross-examination of the witness. This request includes, but is not limited to prior testimony such as grand jury testimony, prior written statements, any witness' reports or notes, any reports of prior oral statements and any prosecutor's notes concerning witness statements which have been or may be adopted, approved or verified by the witness. *Goldberg v. United States*, 425 U.S. 94 (1976).

By separate motion Defendant will be requesting the early production of Jencks Act material at trial.

The Defendant also requests that the Court order the government to produce all exculpatory witness statements as well all negative exculpatory witness statements. *Jones v. Jago*, 575 F.2d 1165, 1168 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 439 U.S. 883 (1978) (statements of informed witnesses which do not mention the defendant). The Defendant further requests the Court to order the government to produce any evidence concerning narcotics habits or psychiatric treatment of its witnesses. The Defendant seeks to discover the personnel file of any government witness which may contain evidence material to the preparation of a defense or contain *Brady* or *Giglio* material. *United States v. Cadet*, 727 F.2d 1453, 1467-68 (9th Cir. 1984); *United States v. Gross*, 603 F.2d 757, 759 (9th Cir. 1979); *United States v. Garrett*, 542 F.2d 23, 26-27 (6th Cir. 1976).

GRANTED:	DENIED:	

30. Any information or evidence which was gained by any electronic surveillance, including but not limited to wiretaps, videotapes, tape recorded conversations, or the like concerning the Defendant, any of the alleged co-conspirators or co-Defendants, and/or any witness. If any evidence or information was gathered by such means, Defendant should be provided copies of any written transcripts, reports, or have an opportunity to see or hear any information or evidence so gathered. *See Alderman v. United States*, 394 U.S. 165 (1976); FED. R. CRIM P. 16(a)(1)(C).

GRANTED:	DENIED:
----------	---------

- 31. Any exculpatory (Brady) evidence. Defendant would submit the following would be exculpatory:
 - 1) Any evidence that tends to show that the Defendant did not commit the acts alleged in the Indictment.
 - 2) Any evidence that tends to show that the Defendant committed the acts alleged in the Indictment in some manner other than the manner alleged.
 - 3) Any evidence that would be in conflict or contradictory with the evidence the Government intends to introduce at trial.
 - 4) Any inconsistent statements made at any time, by any Government witness,

informant, or agent, concerning the involvement of this Defendant in the acts alleged in the Indictment.

- 5) Any physical evidence that is inconsistent with the Defendant's guilt.
- Any evidence that could be used to impeach the Government's witnesses in this case.
- 7) Any evidence that may tend to show that the Defendant did not have the intent to commit the crimes.
- 8) Any evidence that the Defendant is not competent or sane as defined under the law or tending to raise a question concerning competency.
- Any and all consideration or promises of consideration given to or on behalf of any witnesses; and any and consideration expected or hoped for by any witness.
- **10)** Any and all threats, express or implied, direct or indirect, or other coercion made or directed against any witness.
- The names, addresses, telephone numbers, and statements of those persons with whom the Government has spoken and who may have some knowledge of the facts of this case, but who will not testify as witnesses for the Government at trial. *See, e;g;, United States v. Narcisco*, 446 F.Supp. 252, 267 (E.D. Mich. 1977); *United States v. Marshak*, 364 F.Supp. 1005, 1007 (S.D.N.Y. 1977); *United States v. Houston*, 339 F.Supp 762, 766 (N.D. Ga. 1972).
- Any other evidence that is in any way exculpatory to the Defendant or in any way could raise a reasonable doubt as to his or her guilt.

Defendant requests that the Government's attorney be ordered to examine his or her files and to question the Government's agents, informants, or other persons working with the Government in this case, as to their knowledge of any such evidence or materials. Defendant would further request that he or she be allowed to question the Government's attorney and the Government's agents concerning their knowledge or exculpatory evidence and diligence in attempting to locate such evidence.

II.

In support of this motion, the Defendant would show the Court as follows:

- 1. The items requested are in the exclusive possession, custody and control of the government by and through its agents, law enforcement officers or the prosecuting attorney's office, the existence of which is known or may become known with diligence, and the Defendant has no other means of ascertaining the disclosures requested.
 - 2. The items requested are not privileged.
- 3. The items and information are material to this cause on the issues of guilt or innocence and punishment to be determined.
- 4. The Defendant cannot safely go to trial without such information and inspection, nor can the Defendant adequately prepare the defense to the charges against him.
- 5. That absent such discovery, the Defendant's rights under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution will be violated to him irreparable injury and thus deprive the Defendant of a fair trial herein.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays that this Honorable Court will grant in all things the Defendant's Motion For Discovery and Inspection of Evidence, or in the alternative, that this Court will set the matter down for a hearing prior to trial on the merits, and that at such hearing, this motion will be in all things granted.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Davíd M. Russell</u>

David M. Russell State Bar No. 17409300

david@tshhr.com

TEMPLETON, SMITHEE, HAYES, HEINRICH & RUSSELL, L.L.P.

320 S. Polk, Suite 1000 Amarillo, Texas 79101 Telephone No. 806/324-0324

Telecopy No. 806/379-8568

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT JUAN MARTINEZ

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I, David M. Russell, certify that I conferred with the following parties on the 1st day of November, 2017:

Assistant U.S. Attorney Sean Taylor stated that insofar as the motion complies with Rule 16, Brady, and Giglio, the Government does not oppose the motion.

Mark Packard, Counsel for Co-Defendant, Jessica Oregel Rodriguez, could not be reached.

C.J. McElroy, Counsel for Co-Defendant, Toni Freddy Herrera, could not be reached.

/s/ Davíd M. Russell

David M. Russell

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and exact copy of Defendant JUAN MARTINEZ's Motion for Discovery and Inspection of Evidence was electronically served via the CM/ECF system of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas on Assistant United States Attorney Sean Long, Mark Packard, Counsel for Co-Defendant, Jessica Oregel Rodriguez and C. J. McElroy, Counsel for Co-Defendant Toni Freddy Herrera on the 1st day of November, 2017.

/s/ David M. Russell

David M. Russell